

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,250	07/10/2001	Gerald T. Mearini	0937.0016	9551
75	90 03/28/2006		EXAM	INER
D. Joseph English, Esquire			FULLER, ERIC B	
Duane Morris L				•
1667 K Street, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 700			1762	
Washington, DC 20006			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/902,250	MEARINI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric B. Fuller	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE MAIL	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 L	December 2005.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	I53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	, ,				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10,20 and 23-28</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,20 and 23-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-10</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price	• •	<del>"</del>			
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	red.			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 1, 2005 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments and arguments have been found convincing and the rejections of the previous Office Action have been withdrawn. However, because anything can be considered "movable", claim 2 simply reads to aim the evaporation energy source towards the target. Almost all processes of this type embody this feature, as evaporating the target would be difficult otherwise. The rejection below reads on the applicant's claims for this reason. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, 6, 23, and 24-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yializis et al. (US 4,842,893).

Yializis teaches providing multiple substrates (column 9, lines 1-25; figure 4, ref. 166 and 168), a fixed ion source (column 7, lines 15-40), a movable target (column 4, lines 45-66) that is positioned at source deposition location (figure 2, ref. 124), and material is deposited on the substrates. The shutters are taught (column 8, lines 55-60; figure 1, ref. 52). Multiple targets that are moved into the source deposition location are taught (figure 1) and the substrates are rotated (figure 4).

Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yializis et al. (US 4,842,893), as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Kelley et al. (US 4,101,925).

Yializis teaches the limitations of claim 3, as shown above, but is silent to the speed of rotation. However, Kelley teaches that the speed at which the substrate is rotated is significant in achieving thin uniform layers (column 3, lines 58-65). Speeds within the applicant's claims are taught. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to rotate the substrates of Yializis by the speeds taught by Kelley. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of achieving uniform films.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 is allowed.

Claims 5 and 7-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach, or make obvious, the limitation of ceasing deposition of the layer prior to achieving target thickness, by shuttering the substrates, then independently unshuttering the substrates to resume deposition in order to achieve target thickness, in combination with the limitations from which they depend.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks, can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,250

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EBF

TIMOTHY MEEKS

PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5